UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3

1

2

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

23 24

22

25 26

NEVADA CHAPTER OF THE NEW BLACK PANTHER PARTY, **ERIC GRIFFIN** Plaintiffs, 2:10-cv-01286-GMN-RJJ VS. **ORDER** PATRICK WELSH, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff has appealed this court's Order denying him leave to proceed in forma pauperis because plaintiff is a three strikes litigant (see docket #7) to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

First, plaintiff has filed a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal (docket #8) and two motions for appointment of counsel on appeal (docket #13 and #14). However, this court certifies that any in forma pauperis appeal of its Order of August 17, 2010 (docket #5) would not be taken "in good faith" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). See Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962); Gardner v. Pogue, 558 F.2d 548, 550 (9th Cir. 1977) (indigent appellant is permitted to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal only if appeal would not be frivolous). Accordingly, these motions are denied.

With respect to the other motions filed in this court by plaintiff, as he has appealed the denial of in forma pauperis status to the Ninth Circuit, this court has no jurisdiction over this action until the Ninth Circuit rules on his appeal. As such, plaintiff's motions are denied. Plaintiff is directed to file nothing further with this court at this time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this court CERTIFIES that any in forma pauperis appeal from its August 17, 2010 Order (docket #5) would not be taken "in good faith" pursuant